Michele King

From: Sent: Katja [katja.irvin@sbcglobal.net] Monday, May 09, 2016 11:17 PM

To:

Clerk of the Board

Cc:

loma.prieta.chapter@sierraclub.org; 'Mike Ferreira'

Subject:

Comments on 2016-2017 Budget for 5/10/16 Board of Directors Meeting

Attachments:

SCLP Letter on 2016 -17 Budget (May 2016).pdf

Dear Clerk and Members of the Board,

Sierra Club California Water Committees are following the extensive changes underway in water planning and infrastructure and we continue to develop policy papers to help guide these changes in an environmentally positive direction.

We studied the Proposed Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Budget with our policies in mind resulting in the attached comments we hope you will consider during your budget hearings on May 10, 2016.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Katja Irvin, AICP Water Committee Chair Sierra Club Loma Prieta Chapter (408) 569-8214



Sierra Club Loma Prieta Chapter Celebrating 80 years of protecting the planet

3921 East Bayshore Road, Suite 204, Palo Alto, CA 94303 loma.prieta.chapter@sierraclub.org | TEL - (650) 390-8411 | FAX - (650) 390-8497

May 9, 2016

Santa Clara Valley Water District Board of Directors 5750 Almaden Expressway San Jose, CA 95118

Re: Proposed Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Budget

Dear Chair Keegan and Members of the Board,

Sierra Club California Water Committees are following the extensive changes underway in water planning and infrastructure and we continue to develop policy papers to help guide these changes in an environmentally positive direction. We studied the Proposed Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Budget with our policies in mind resulting in the following comments we hope you will consider.

The budget we are referring to is available on the Santa Clara Valley Water District (SCVWD) website at http://www.valleywater.org/About/Finance.aspx. We assume approval of this budget is included in agenda item 2.8 2017-2021 Five-Year Capital Improvement Program and agenda item 2.9 Groundwater Production and Other Water Charges for Fiscal Year 2016-2017.

Our concerns regarding the budget are as follows:

- 1. We question the level of funds allocated to supply projects when demand has decreased significantly and can be managed at current levels for the foreseeable future.
 - a. Costs for water recycling projects continue to escalate. The amount allocated for all recycling projects in the 2016–2017 budget is about \$373 million based on our calculations. That's a 150% increase from \$138 million in the 2014-2015 budget.
 - b. It is unclear how much is allocated in this budget to support the even more expensive Water Fix project. We think the public has a right to answers to the following questions related to State Water Project expenditures.
 - i. Why has the State Water Project Dept Service (Property Taxes, page 4-13) increased about 50% since 2014? The public needs to know if this is related to Water Fix project expenditures or why these costs have increased so substantially.
 - ii. Overall, how much is currently allocated for the Water Fix project in this budget? The public should know exactly how much will be spent on the project from different line items such as the \$11 million allocated to the Imported Water Program (91131004), up 40% since 2014, and the \$34.5 million State Water Project Costs (91131008), up 27% since 2014.

- 2. We are encouraged that the State Water Project (SWP) Reserve has been eliminated. Any funds spent on the Water Fix project should include analysis of alternatives, including decreasing Delta exports in the future.
- 3. We support any budget commitment to restore local creeks, especially to remove barriers and provide water for threatened fish species. Therefore we ask that the Almaden Dam Improvements project (91854001), include downstream measures to improve fish habitat.
- 4. Furthermore we are concerned that the FAHCE/Three Creeks HCP Project budget (Operations: 92041014, Proposed Operating and Capital Budget pgs. 168–170) is largely allocated to overhead and not to projects to improve the fisheries. We request that the Board request budget adjustments to actually improve fish passage by the end of 2017.
- 5. In addition, we support the Inter Agency Urban Runoff Program (95771011) and are hopeful this program will result in stormwater capture projects that enhance local water supply. This supports water supply alternatives the Sierra Club is promoting so we look forward to this program expanding in the future.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Katja Irvin, AICP

Chair, Water Committee

Katja Isvin

Sierra Club Loma Prieta Chapter