

Attachment 1

Table 1: Scoring of Conceptual Alternatives against Project Objectives

Project Objective	CA-1	CA-2	CA-3	CA-4	CA-5	CA-6
PO-1						
Separate Coyote Creek surface water connections between Coyote Creek and Ogier Ponds	0	4	5	5	4	4
PO-2.1						
Provide steelhead passage	5	5	5	5	5	5
PO-2.2						
Provide steelhead spawning habitat suitable spawning areas	0	5	5	5	5	5
PO-2.3						
Provide steelhead rearing habitat	0	5	5	5	5	5
PO-3						
Maintain water quality in Ogier Ponds	5	3	1	0	3	3
PO-4						
Support ADSRP as a conservation measure	0	5	5	5	5	5
Total	10	27	26	25	27	27

Note: Conceptual alternatives CA-1 and CA-4 received scores of zero for one or more Project Objectives and therefore were not advanced to the Feasibility Assessment Factor evaluation.

Table 2: Feasibility Alternatives Comparison

Feasibility Assessment Factors		CA-2	CA-3	CA-5	CA-6
FAF-1	Impact to the Coyote Creek Trail	0	4	5	5
FAF-2	Compatibility with Perry's Hill Plan	0	5	5	5
FAF-3	Grading impact to parkland	3	0	3	5
FAF-4	Impacts to Coyote Parks Roadways	0	5	2	2
FAF-5	Acquisition of privately owned land	5	5	3	0
FAF-6	Community support	0	0	5	5
FAF-7	Impact to Jurisdictional Open Water Habitat	5	0	3	4
FAF-8	Impacts to Riparian Habitat	0	0	4	5
FAF-9	Green House Gas and Air Emissions	1	0	4	5
FAF-10	No Increased Flood Risk	5	5	5	5
FAF-11	Stable Channel Design	4	5	4	4
FAF-12	Utilities Relocation	0	0	0	0
FAF-13	Construction and Real Estate Costs	5	0	3	5
FAF-14	Operations and Maintenance Costs	0	3	2	5
FAF-15	Risk of Hazardous Materials	5	5	5	0
Total		33	37	53	55

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK