Michele King

Subject: FW: Board Meeting 6-14-2022 Agenda items 2.1 CEO Review and Agenda 4.2

Attachments: Agenda-14.pdf; Handout 4-7.pdf; Handout 4-5.pdf

From: John Public < civilian9898@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2022 1:58 PM

To: Clerk of the Board <clerkoftheboard@valleywater.org>; Board of Directors <boord@valleywater.org>

Subject: Board Meeting 6-14-2022 Agenda items 2.1 CEO Review and Agenda 4.2

Please attach to both Agenda 2.1 and 4.2

I echo Doug Muirhead's exact statements on this issue and have attached his latest opposition.

"I suggest that a reprimand would be appropriate for the CEO and Security Manager for violating Board policy process and for lack of transparency and public involvement in putting the original Ordinance on the Consent Calendar with no advance warning and no discussion first at BPPC"

Director Lezotte should also produce the date and timecode of the Board meeting where she says the Board Member was "scary" "it was frighting his attitude "" (Board Meeting 3/22/22) Did the Board member have a weapon? Who else felt this way and was a report made on the conduct of the Director she felt frightened of? What actions were taken? Surely it was reported. So please provide the recorded Board meeting for the public that she is speaking of.

The CEO said the quite part out loud when asked when when the District will be open to the public. The CEO said " anti vaccination" before correcting himself . when talking about the death threats.

Why is it that the CEO is bringing up Los Gatos and San Jose citizens protesting? Where any weapons seized? People injured? Police reports of anyone in danger? When does someone need to be reminded that they got a death threat and a recent one on top of that? Seems to me that is something you don't forget. Police reports should have been made since 2 people received death threats and this is something that should be disclosed since a Ordinance is trying to be pushed through and tax payer money being spent (Metal Detectors, New ballistic glass, staff etc.) that may not be necessary.

This should be addressed before any ordinance is passed.