

Santa Clara Valley Water District

File No.: 17-0253 Agenda Date: 4/25/2017

Item No.: *7.2.

SUPPLEMENTAL BOARD AGENDA MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT:

Recommended Position on State Legislation: AB 574 (Quirk) Potable Reuse, AB 791 (Frazier) SWP & CVP: New Conveyance Facility, AB 792 (Frazier) Delta Plan: Certification of Consistency, AB 793 (Frazier) Delta: financing, *AB 968 (Rubio) Urban Retail Water Use: Water Efficiency Targets, AB 1489 (Brough) Architects Practice Act, AB 1654 (Rubio) Urban Water Shortage: Contingency Plans, *AB 979 (Lackey) LAFCO: special district representation, *AB 1427 (Eggman) Water: underground storage, *Governor's budget trailer bill language: Making Water Conservation a California Way of Life, *Governor's budget trailer bill language: Enhancing Dam Safety, and Other Legislation Which May Require Urgent Consideration for a Position by the Board.

REASON FOR SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM:

This supplemental Board agenda memorandum conveys additional information received after the initial agenda item was released, consistent with Executive Limitations Policy EL-7-10-5.

RECOMMENDATION:

- A. Adopt a position of "Support" on: AB 574 (Quirk) Potable Reuse.
- B. Adopt a position of "Oppose" on: AB 1489 (Brough) Architects Practice.
- C. Adopt a position of "Oppose" on: AB 791 (Frazier) SWP & CVP: new conveyance facility.
- D. Adopt a position of "Oppose" on: AB 792 (Frazier) Delta Plan: certification of consistency.
- E. Adopt a position of "Oppose" on: AB 793 (Frazier) Delta: financing.
- F. *Adopt a position of "Support" on: AB 968 (Rubio) Urban Retail Water Use: water efficiency targets.
- G. *Adopt a position of "Support" on: AB 979 (Lackey) LAFCO: special district representation.
- H. Adopt a position of "Support" on: AB 1654 (Rubio) Urban Water Shortage: contingency plans.
- I. *Adopt a position of "Oppose" on: AB 1427 (Eggman) Water: underground storage.

Item No.: *7.2.

J. *Adopt a Position of "Support if Amended" on Governor's budget trailer bill language: Making Water Conservation a California Way of Life.

K. *Adopt a Position of "Support" on: Governor's budget trailer bill language: Enhancing Dam Safety.

SUMMARY:

*AB 968 (Rubio) Urban retail water use: water efficiency targets. (A- 04-17-17)

Position Recommendation: Support

Priority Recommendation: 2

AB 968 (Rubio) would require urban retail water suppliers to determine their water use efficiency targets for 2025, based on one of three possible methodologies, and to include those targets in the water supplier's Urban Water Management Plan, due in 2020.

The bill would allow urban retail water suppliers to develop water efficiency targets for 2025 based on one of the following methodologies:

- Seventy-five percent of the urban retail water supplier's base daily per capita water use calculated using a methodology to be developed by the Department of Water Resources (DWR).
- A water efficiency target that is the sum of fifty-five gallons of water use per person per day,
 plus an estimate of total irrigation demands within the supplier's service area, and a volume of
 water to account for variances taken by the water supplier due to unique situations in their
 service area.
- Ninety percent of the applicable hydrologic region target, as set forth in the state's 20x2020
 water conservation plan, dated February 2010. If the service area of an urban retail water
 supplier includes more than one hydrologic region, the supplier shall apportion its service area
 to each region based on population or area.

Compliance with water use efficiency targets would be calculated by parameters provided for each methodology of target setting. The bill provides urban water suppliers relief from meeting water use efficiency targets in the event of a disaster, or if DWR fails to complete the Irrigable Area Database.

The bill would require DWR, in consultation with the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board), to convene a commercial, industrial and institutional water use efficiency task force with the objective to make water efficiency recommendations to the legislature in a report by December 2019.

The DWR would be required to reconvene the Urban Stakeholder Committee by April 2, 2018, to develop standardized variance and irrigable area methodologies that would inform the water use efficiency target setting process. Starting on January 1, 2020 and every five years after, the committee would be required to submit a report to the legislature with recommendations for new

Item No.: *7.2.

demand management measures, technologies and approaches. By December 31, 2025, the committee would be required to submit a report with potential adjustments to the 2030 water use efficiency targets. The committee report would take into consideration potential unintended consequences of the proposed changes to the state economy, wastewater infrastructure, or local investments in water infrastructure and supplies.

By July 1, 2019, DWR would be required to create the Irrigable Area Database to provide urban retail water suppliers an electronic database with validated aerial imagery and measured irrigable area for all residential and commercial, industrial and institutional areas. The database would be required to provide the information suitable to determine the appropriate amount of irrigation for a variety of vegetation, including large trees and the area below the canopy. Urban retail water suppliers would be allowed to use their own database if it is verified that the database is comparable, or of better quality, than the DWR database.

Importance to the District

On May 9, 2016, Governor Brown issued Executive Order B-37-16 entitled, "Making Water Conservation a California Way of Life." The Order includes a range of actions directed to state agencies to address various water management topics. One of these directives requires DWR to work with the State Water Board to develop new water use targets that build on existing state law requirements that the state achieve a 20% reduction in urban water use by 2020 (SB x7-7 of 2009.) The Governor's order specifies that these water use targets are to be customized to the unique conditions of each water agency, shall generate more statewide water conservation than existing requirements, and shall be based on strengthened standards for indoor use, outdoor irrigation, commercial, industrial and institutional water use, as well as water lost through leaks. The Governor's order directed the DWR and the State Water Board to consult with water agencies, local governments, environmental agencies and other interested stakeholders to develop a framework providing the water use targets by January 10, 2017.

The final framework "Making Conservation a California Way of Life" was released by the Brown Administration on April 7, 2017. The framework makes several recommendations which can be pursued through current rulemaking authority or administrative action. Those actions include, new regulations, expanded technical assistance, and, evaluations and certification of new water efficient technologies. Several of the framework recommendations require legislative action to provide DWR and the State Water Board new authority to implement expanded new water use efficiency requirements.

To provide new authorities for state agencies, the Administration is pursuing budget trailer language which staff also has included in this agenda item below. The budget trailer bill language proposal is similar the regulatory approach imposed by the State Water Board in 2015 when a 25% mandatory water conservation mandate was imposed on all retail water agencies. Essentially, the Administration's proposal is a top down approach to water conservation in which the state sets the water use efficiency targets, and enforces compliance through fines, and cease and desist orders.

Item No.: *7.2.

AB 968 and AB 1654 was developed by a workgroup of water agencies through the Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA) in anticipation of the release of the "Making Conservation a California Way of Life" framework.

AB 968, along with AB 1654, would make important changes to state water conservation goals and bolster long-term drought planning efforts through a locally driven process. AB 968 provides a flexible approach to water conservation planning that considers differing water sources, local investments in drought proof supplies and variations in climate.

The District already has made water conservation a way of life through its daily operations. The District and the retailers in Santa Clara County are well on their way to meeting the 20 percent by 2020 conservation requirements established in 2009 by SB1 X7 (Steinberg). The District's 2012 Water Supply and Infrastructure Master Plan's "Ensure Sustainability" strategy calls for an increase in conservation, from 63,000 acre-feet per year to 99,000 acre-feet over the next fifteen years. AB 968 would further water conservation efforts into the future as water efficiency targets are implemented to reflect advancements in technology and the water use habits of residents in the state.

Staff recommends the Board adopt a position of "Support" on AB 968.

Pros

- Strengthens water use efficiency, water supply reliability, and the sustainable management
 of the state's water resources.
- Creates a local and regional based approach to achieving water use efficiency, instead of setting a statewide mandatory water conservation target.
- Removes the future ambiguity in addressing water conservation during droughts.
- Improves long-term drought planning.

Cons

 Creates state budgetary impacts, as DWR and the State Board would need increased funding to complete the requirements of the bill.

*AB 979 (Lackey) LAFCO: District Representation (A: 4/6/2017)

Position Recommended: Support

Position Priority: 3

AB 979 streamlines the process for independent special districts to participate on a local agency formation commission (LAFCO) by procedurally combining onto the same ballot the questions of LAFCO commissioner elections, countywide Regional Development Agency oversight board appointments, and special district representation on a LAFCO.

Currently under California Government Code § 56332.5, for special districts to acquire representation

Item No.: *7.2.

on a LAFCO, a majority of all special districts in a county must pass a board resolution supporting such action within a one-year period, which is a time intensive process that requires resources to organize the effort. To that end, special districts have obtained LAFCO representation in only 30 of the 58 counties in California.

AB 979 would update the current process by amending language into California Government Code § 56332.5 that mirrors the existing election process for appointment of LAFCO commissioners through the county's independent special districts selection committee. Thus, AB 979 would allow for special district representation on a LAFCO to be achieved through existing and familiar election processes, concurrently with those elections and appointments, all while allowing for each district to vote on the various items.

Importance to the District

Due to the District's efforts and involvement in 2012, Santa Clara County's LAFCO, which is known as Santa Clara LAFCO, permits special district representation. This representation empowers the 19 independent special districts in Santa Clara County to more effectively consider their participation on the Santa Clara LAFCO, as well as their associated role in the governance and boundaries of special districts and other local agencies.

Moreover, this bill is co-sponsored by the California LAFCO Association and the California Special Districts Association (CSDA). The District is a member of CSDA and has received a request to support the bill. CSDA has requested we support this legislation as a member of the association, and in recognition of the challenges the District faced in attaining special district representation on the Santa Clara LAFCO, staff recommends the Board adopt a position of "support" on AB 979.

Pros

- Simplifies and expedites the process for special districts to acquire representation on a LAFCO.
- Maximizes the time and resources of all stakeholders by addressing multiple important matters by way of a single, local election process.
- Allows for more informed and effective decisions in local communities through a proven and more efficient approach than the current mechanisms.

Cons

None.

*AB 1427 (Eggman) Water: underground storage. (A-3/21/2017)

Position Recommendation: Oppose

Priority Recommendation: 2

Item No.: *7.2.

AB 1427 would modify the definition of the beneficial use of water to include water stored in the ground for the protection of water quality or for the recovery of groundwater levels. The bill exempts from forfeiture the rights to water that not used beneficially for a period of five years, by declaring that certain water storage underground constitutes beneficial use under the law.

California's water law and policy, Article X, Section 2 of the California Constitution, requires that all uses of the state's water be both reasonable and beneficial. It places a significant limitation on water rights by prohibiting the waste, unreasonable use, unreasonable method of use, or unreasonable method of diversion of water.

Currently, an appropriative water right that is not used beneficially during a five-year period may be considered abandoned and available for use by others. AB 1427 would change this requirement by providing that the period for a reversion of a water right does not apply during the period groundwater is used in the ground or held in storage for later application to beneficial use.

Importance to the District

AB 1427 would not provide the District with any greater ability to fully exercise its local appropriative water right licenses. The District's licenses allow diversions to be used for domestic and irrigation purposes. Some of the District's licenses also state water will be recharged into the basins for subsequent recovery for use on overlaying lands. Since existing law already allows the District to store water underground for future beneficial use (Water Code Section 1242) and the District's recharge operations are aimed at making water available for beneficial use, the language in the bill is superfluous.

However, the bill would potentially impact the District's federal and state imported water supplies. Under area of origin laws, County and watershed areas of the source water have priority over the Central Valley Project (CVP) and State Water Project (SWP) for that water, even if the CVP and SWP have a prior appropriate water right. Essentially, area of origin laws provide those located in a County and watershed of the source water with a preferential right for that water over the CVP and SWP to ensure adequate water supplies for the County and watershed.

Under the proposed bill language, if a person in an Area of Origin County decides to obtain a water right to divert for the sole purpose of raising groundwater levels, that right would be superior to existing rights of the CVP and SWP, even if those in the Area of Origin do not have a foreseeable need to recover the stored water for existing beneficial uses. They can claim that raising groundwater levels is a beneficial use, without having to demonstrate why the stored water is needed for municipal, domestic, irrigation, salinity barrier, wildlife preservation or any other recognized beneficial uses. This bill could severely impact the availability water to the District and other CVP and SWP contractors.

Staff recommends that the Board adopt a position of Oppose on AB 1427.

Pros

Item No.: *7.2.

None.

Cons

 The bill could adversely impact the availability of imported water to the District through the CVP and SWP.

*Governor's Budget Trailer Bill Language: Making Water Conservation a California Way of Life (Released - 04/07/17)

Position Recommendation: Support if Amended

Priority Recommendation: 2

On April 7, 2017, The Administration of Governor Jerry Brown released budget trailer bill language that proposes comprehensive statutory changes to implement the Governor's long-term water conservation program known as "Making Water Conservation a California Way of Life." The Administration has proposed the program as part of a larger package of budget trailer bills, which have an abbreviated legislative path to enactment. The trailer bill language would provide new authority to the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) and the Department of Water Resources (DWR) to establish long-term standards for efficient urban water use, expand water shortage contingency planning, and increase the number of agricultural water suppliers that are required to submit Agricultural Water Management Plans.

Water Conservation Standards and Use Reporting

The trailer bill language would require the State Water Board to establish long-term water use efficiency standards, by May 20, 2021, for indoor residential water use, outdoor irrigation, and commercial, industrial and institutional (CII) water use. The bill provides the State Water Board the authority to establish interim water use efficiency standards through the emergency regulatory process, as a bridge until the permanent regulations are finalized. The State Water Board would be required to provide a 60-day notice and hold a public hearing before finalizing emergency regulations.

The proposed statutes would allow a court or public entity to hold any person civilly liable for up to \$10,000 for violating the water efficiency regulations. The State Water Board would be authorized to issue a cease and desist order to a person or entity that is found in violation of a State Water Board regulation and to issue fines up to \$500 per day if the violation continues. The trailer bill language also would provide the State Water Board the authority to issue a regulation requiring public water supply distributors to submit water production, water use and conservation information to the State Water Board.

Urban Water Shortage Contingency Plans

The proposed language would require Urban Water Management Plans (UWMP) to include a water shortage contingency plan based on a drought scenario extending five years into the future. Currently UWMPs are required to include a water shortage contingency plan based on a three-year drought.

Item No.: *7.2.

The urban water supplier would need to include information for the water shortage contingency plan that considers various factors such as, annual water budget forecast procedures, standard water shortage level, shortage response actions, and communications protocols and procedures. Water suppliers regulated by the California Public Utilities Commission also would be required to submit an annual water shortage contingency plan and include the plan as a component of their general rate case filings

The proposed statutes would require water shortage contingency plans to include five water shortage levels corresponding to progressive ranges of up to 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 percent shortages. Urban water suppliers would be required to declare a water shortage emergency upon determining that a 40 percent water shortage exists.

The proposal would require the urban water supplier to conduct a water budget forecast on an annual basis for submittal to DWR by May 10th of each year. The water budget forecast would include information on supplies, anticipated shortages, the triggered shortage response actions, compliance and enforcement actions, and a communications plan for the community.

Under the proposed language, DWR would be required to submit a report, aggregating and summarizing the submitted water shortage contingency plans, to the State Water Board. The report would include information on any water shortages and the actions that were implemented based on a water shortage forecast. The report also would provide the State Water Board information to determine if any enforcement for noncompliance is necessary.

Agricultural Water Management Planning

The bill would expand the requirements of the Agricultural Water Management Planning Act to include agricultural water suppliers that provide water to 10,000 or more irrigable acres. Currently, only agricultural water suppliers providing water to 25,000 or more irrigable acres are required to submit a plan. The bill also would revise the components of the agriculture management plans to include agricultural water use efficiency measures, their water management strategy, and a drought plan that describes the actions for drought preparedness and allocations during drought conditions.

Importance to the District

On May 9, 2016, Governor Brown issued Executive Order B-37-16 entitled, "Making Water Conservation a California Way of Life." The Order includes a range of actions directed to state agencies to address various water management topics. One of these directives requires DWR to work with the State Water Board to develop new water use targets that build on existing state law requirements that the state achieve a 20 percent reduction in urban water use by 2020 (SB x7-7 of 2009.) The Governor's order specifies that these water use targets are to be customized to the unique conditions of each water agency, shall generate more statewide water conservation than existing requirements, and shall be based on strengthened standards for indoor use, outdoor irrigation, commercial, industrial and institutional water use, as well as water lost through leaks. The Governor's order directed the DWR and the State Water Board to consult with water agencies, local governments, environmental agencies and other

Item No.: *7.2.

interested stakeholders to develop a framework providing the water use targets by January 10, 2017.

The final framework "Making Water Conservation a California Way of Life" was released by the Brown Administration on April 7, 2017. The framework makes several recommendations which can be pursued through current rulemaking authority or administrative action. Those actions include, new regulations, expanded technical assistance, and evaluations and certification of new water efficient technologies. Several of the framework recommendations require legislative action to provide DWR and the State Water Board new authority to implement expanded water use efficiency requirements.

To provide the required new authority for the State Water Board and DWR, the Administration is pursuing the proposed budget trailer bill language. The Administration's proposal is a top-down approach to water conservation in which the state sets the goals for water use efficiency and enforces compliance through cease and desist orders and fines. The proposal follows the regulatory approach imposed by the State Water Board in 2015, when a 25 percent mandatory water conservation mandate was imposed on all retail water agencies. Any agency violating the emergency regulations was subject to a fine of \$500 per day.

The Governor's budget trailer bill language is an alternative approach to the long-term water conservation program proposed by the water industry in AB 968 (Rubio) Long Term Water Use Efficiency and 1654 (Rubio) Urban Retail Water Use Efficiency Targets. AB 968 and AB 1654 are the preferred water industry approaches as they provide a more flexible, local approach to water use efficiency targets and drought planning.

While the Governor's trailer bill language proposes a robust plan for implementing water use efficiency and drought planning, the language has not been vetted by water industry stakeholders and will need several changes to provisions related to the setting of water efficiency targets, flexible implementation, consistency with the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act and clarification of responsibilities for water wholesalers.

Staff recommends that the Board adopt a position of "Support if Amended" on the Governor's budget trailer bill language released April 7, 2017, to implement the program known as Making Water Conservation a California Way of Life. Because this proposal is not yet incorporated into a budget trailer bill, and now appears in AB 1667, AB 1668, AB 1669, all authored Assembly Member Laura Friedman, staff recommends that the position of "Support if Amended" apply to this language as it may appear in any legislative or budget trailer bill in the current 2017-18 Legislative Session.

Amendments Requested

 Clarify the term "urban water supplier" to differentiate between wholesale and retail water suppliers. While the definition of "urban water supplier" includes wholesalers that supply more than 3,000 acre-feet of water for municipal purposes, there are many requirements in the bill that do not apply to wholesale agencies.

Item No.: *7.2.

 Proposed Water Code Section 10609 should be clarified to include the responsibilities of a water wholesaler. A water wholesaler should not be held responsible for the water use efficiency achieved by retail water customers.

- Ensure consistency with the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act.
- Seek flexibility in establishing water shortage contingency plans. Currently the proposed bill
 language requires a declaration of an emergency at a level of 40 percent shortage. This does
 not consider unique circumstances of the District and whether the shortfall is because of
 overall water supply or groundwater conditions that may warrant a call for conservation to
 avoid subsidence. The bill should allow wholesalers to define the water supply conditions that
 apply to the six standard water shortage levels.
- Remove the authority for the State Water Board to enact water use efficiency targets through the emergency regulatory process before May 20, 2021. If an emergency presents itself, the Governor currently holds the authority to require emergency conservation as he did for the last two years.
- Proposed Section 10631 requires that urban water suppliers include land use information, but does not include a requirement that land use agencies share the information with urban water suppliers. Also, land use information is often outdated and may not be appropriate for future water demand projections.
- The annual water budget forecast deadlines need to be revisited. Plans are due in May from
 water agencies and DWR is to provide a report to the State Water Board in June. With over
 400 agencies submitting water shortage contingency plans, allowing a 21-day period for
 review and analysis is unrealistic. The State Water Board will be relying on DWR analyses to
 take enforcement actions against non-compliant agencies.
- Proposed Sections 10631 and 10631.2 require clarifying amendments that would preserve the Administration's goals while being more workable for water agencies.

Pros

- Provides a statewide process for drought planning and response.
- Establishes water use efficiency goals.
- Expands urban water management planning to include climate change, social and demographic factors and land use information affecting water management planning.
- Requires agricultural water suppliers providing water to more than 10,000 irrigated acres to submit water use data and to create Agricultural Water Management Plans.
- Expands agricultural water management planning.

Item No.: *7.2.

Cons

 Water Code Section 1831 is amended to allow the State Water Board to issue a cease and desist order for the violation of <u>any</u> regulation adopted by the State Water Board. Under current law, this section is limited to emergency regulations. This change could override water rights law by limiting an agency's ability to use water in excess of the State Water Board's water efficiency targets, even when water is readily available.

- Proposed Section 10609 authorizes the State Water Board to create water use efficiency standards for purposes including, but not limited to, indoor, outdoor and CII. There are no parameters for how the standards would be created. Unlike existing law, there is no specification that these requirements would apply only to retailers.
- Only the State Water Board could propose changes to established water use efficiency standards. This removes the voice of the people as expressed through elected governing bodies at both the state and local level from the determination of water conservation goals.
- The first set of standards would be created through the emergency regulatory process, providing limited public input.
- Proposed Section 10631(d) would require the District to quantify water use according to specific sectors, which would require changes to the District's water revenue database.
- Proposed Section 10632 is added to expand requirements for water shortage
 consistency plans. The District would be required to implement shortage response
 actions as described in the water shortage contingency plan, tying the Board's hands
 regarding the level of short-term water use reduction that could be called for at any
 time.
- The planning requirements greatly expand information and analysis required in the District's UWMP.

*Governor's Budget Trailer Bill Language: Enhancing Dam Safety (Released - 02/24/17)
Position Recommendation: Support
Priority Recommendation: 2

On February 24, 2017, the Administration of Governor Jerry Brown released budget trailer bill language that proposes to enhance dam safety by making significant changes to the responsibilities of the Department of Water Resources (DWR) and to the responsibilities of owners of dams across California. The language would require dam owners to have an emergency action plan that is updated every ten years and to update inundation maps every ten years or sooner under certain circumstances. It also would provide DWR with more tools for safety enforcement, including fines and operational restrictions. The Administration has proposed these changes as part of a larger package of budget trailer bills, which have an abbreviated legislative path to enactment.

The State of California's dam safety program is operated by DWR's Division of Safety of Dams (DSOD). In 2016, the Association of State Dam Safety Officials conducted a peer review of dam safety programs and found that California has the "leading dam safety program in the nation." DSOD conducts annual inspections of approximately 1,250 dams that are under the state's jurisdiction.

Item No.: *7.2.

Each of these dams is classified into one of three categories consistent with federal definitions: 678 "high hazard," 271 "significant hazard," and 289 "low hazard."

Currently, the dam inspection process focuses on the dam itself and includes only a visual inspection of appurtenant structures. The February 2017 spillway failure at Oroville Dam has underscored the need for an enhanced inspection regime to evaluate the structural integrity of appurtenant structures. Emergency Action Plans currently are not required for all dams under state jurisdiction; however, most high hazard dams have them. Emergency Action Plans are based on inundation maps that are created at the time when dams are built or enlarged and consider only a sunny day dam failure scenario and do not consider failures of appurtenant structures, such as occurred at the Oroville Dam. Finally, DSOD lacks the enforcement authority to require Emergency Action Plans or inundation maps.

The Governor's trailer bill language seeks to address the issues above by establishing new requirements for preparing and updating Emergency Action Plans and inundation maps, enhancing enforcement authority, improving communication between DWR and the Governor's Office of Emergency Services (OES), and increasing fees on dam owners to pay for enhanced dam safety programs.

The proposed statutes would require anyone who unlawfully constructs or operates a dam without DWR approval to pay a fine. It authorizes DWR to impose reservoir restrictions and levy property liens on an owner of a dam who fails to comply with a DWR approval, order, rule, regulation, or requirement. DWR would be authorized to impose civil penalties up to \$1,000/day, in addition to other applicable penalties. If a dam is owned by one or more persons or entities, the language requires the owners to either delegate or form a single entity that would be responsible for the operation and maintenance of the dam, that would retain legal and financial authority, and that would be responsible for the payment of any fees or other costs of dam ownership.

The trailer bill language would make owners of a dam regulated by the state responsible for emergency preparedness regarding potential loss of life and property due to the failure of the dam or its critical appurtenant structures. It would require DWR, by July 1, 2017, to revise the classification of a dam to reflect changes in downstream population, infrastructure, or land use. It would require the owner of a dam to prepare and submit to DWR for approval an inundation map showing potential flooding under various failure scenarios.

The Governor's proposal would require the owner of a dam to develop and to submit to DWR and OES an Emergency Action Plan with certain components, based on inundation maps, and to update the plan and inundation maps not less than every 10 years. The owner would be required to develop the plan in consultation with local public safety agencies, if the local agencies choose to participate, and would authorize the local public safety agencies to review and update procedures they may adopt. OES would be required to give priority in its review to the highest hazard dams. The language would require dam owners to conduct an Emergency Action Plan notification exercise at least once annually. The Emergency Action Plan would be exempt from disclosure under the CA Public Records Act, based on security concerns.

Item No.: *7.2.

The proposed statutes would require DWR to adopt, by emergency regulation, a fee schedule based in part on the height of the dam to cover the department's reasonable regulatory costs in the supervision of dam safety. Fees for dams or reservoirs on farm or ranch properties would be capped at a lower rate.

Importance to the District

The District operates ten dams and reservoirs a part of its mission to provide safe, clean water for the people of Santa Clara County. The District recognizes the catastrophic nature of a potential dam failure and operates a comprehensive dam safety program to protect the public. The Dam Safety Program includes four main components: periodic special engineering studies, surveillance and monitoring, routine inspections and maintenance activities, and maintaining emergency response and preparedness plans. The District also works closely with state and federal regulators, and downstream emergency response partners to meet these goals.

The District has a public safety interest in ensuring that all dams in the state are operated safely, thoroughly inspected for possible failures, properly classified as to the degree of hazard, and that emergency preparedness is maintained not just by this agency, but also by our state and federal regulators, by the State Water Project, and by downstream emergency response partners. Governor Brown's proposed statutes to enhance dam safety would help meet the District's public safety interests and should be enacted promptly.

The trailer bill language would increase fees paid by the District to DWR to support the state's dam safety program. The District's current expense for these fees for all dams operated by the District totals \$220,000 annually. The Administration plans to increase these fees by 28 percent for each of the next three years, for a net increase of 84 percent. Starting in 2021, the fees would go down by 15 percent, followed by a 10 percent decrease in 2022. Under this fee increase scenario, in the year when fees would be at the highest, the District total for DWR fees for dams and reservoirs would increase from the current \$220,000 annually to a total of \$405,000 annually. While these increased costs would need to be incorporated into District budgets going forward, the added public safety benefits, both here and around the state, make these costs a prudent investment for the owners and operators of dams.

Staff recommends that the Board adopt a position of "Support" on the Governor's budget trailer bill language released February 24, 2017, to enhance dam safety. Because this proposal is not yet incorporated into a bill, staff recommends that this position apply to this language as it may appear in a legislative or budget trailer bill in the current 2017-18 Legislative Session.

Pros

- Requires dams to have an Emergency Action Plan that is updated every ten years with limited exceptions for low-risk dams.
- Requires all dams to have updated inundation maps every ten years, or sooner if local

Item No.: *7.2.

development patterns change.

- Requires DWR to identify additional scenarios for emergency preparedness that go beyond a complete dam failure to include other types of possible failures.
- Improves emergency preparedness coordination between and among state and local agencies.

Cons

• Increases fees paid to the state by the District to support the state's dam safety program.

FINANCIAL IMPACT:

There is no financial impact associated with this item.

CEQA:

The recommended action does not constitute a project under CEQA because it does not have a potential for resulting in direct or reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment.

ATTACHMENTS:

None.

UNCLASSIFIED MANAGER:

Rick Callender, 408-630-2017