WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS Priority Ranking Criteria

NORMALIZED PRIORITY SCORE = 0 RAW SCORE =

Project N	lame Here	9		RAW SCORE =	0	
PRIMARY OBJECTIVE (75%)	Water Supp	oly (E 2)			0	
	I P Project maintains existing water utility infrastructure or is required to meet the current and future water supply demand, comply with water quality standards or meet other regulatory requirements. I = Impact (H, M, L); P = Probability (H, M, L)					
	A2	Project expands water utility infrastructure or provides additional water supply to meet current or near future demand. I = Impact (H, M, L); P = Probability (H, M, L)				
	В	Project increases water supply portfolio, increases operation flexibility, improves maintenance capabilities, adds efficiency, or improves post-disaster reliability of water utility infrastructure [Example: improving the systematic reliability of water utility infrastructure to continually perform during and after a devastating event; improving the systematic flexibility of water utility infrastructure to utilize various source water; or adding redundancy so infrastructure can be taken off-line for maintenance]. (H, M, L)				
	С	Timing of when project is needed to meet water supply demands, water quality standards, or other regulations. (I = Immediately (0-3 yrs.); S = Short-term (3-5 yrs.); L = Long-term (5+ yrs.))				
L-	Social Fact	or - Check if applicable			0	
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT (7.5%)		Promotes Emergency Recovery		Addresses projected water supply demand indentified by Cities/County		
MON (7	Positive Int	teraction (E 4) - Check all that apply				
SE		With the Community		With other agencies		
	Water Qual	ity (E 3.2) - Check if applicable			0	
		Promotes drinking water quality		Protects Ground Water		
Z ₽		Protects Surface Water		Addresses Storm Water issues		
ENT BILI	Natural Resources Sustainability (E 3.2) - Check all that apply					
ONME AINAB (7.5%)		Promotes water use efficiency		Reduces reliance on imported water		
ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY (7.5%)		Promotes stream management		Encourages Water Conservation		
SUS		Protects Upland or Wetland Habitat		Expands or Improves Fish Habitat		
		Includes Climate Change Elements		Promotes energy efficiency or incorpenergy efficient features	oorates	
	Lifecycle c	osts are minimized - Check One			0	
		Annual cost savings of more than \$500,000			,	
ΞRΥ		Annual cost savings of \$200,000 to \$500,000				
COST RECOVERY (10%)		Annual cost savings of less than \$200,000 (reference ½ PY)				
	Funding A	vailable from Other Agencies - Check One				
		Over 50% of project costs available from other agencies				
		26% to 50% of project costs available from other agencies				
		Up to 25% of project costs available from other agencies				

FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECTS Priority Ranking Criteria

NORMALIZED PRIORITY SCORE = 0

RAW SCORE = 0

Project N	lame Here RAW SCORE =	0	
PRIMARY OBJECTIVE (60%)	Flood Protection (E 3) Project restores existing watershed infrastructure to its intended level of flood protection. I = Impact on home, school, or business parcels (H = 1000+, M = 200 to 1000 , L = <200); P = Probability based on frequency of flooding (H = every 10 yrs, M = every 25 yrs, L = every 50+ yrs) Project is a Board or USACE priority, improves watershed infrastructure to achieve the committed level of protection, or provides flood protection beyond the level of commitment. (H, M, L) Timing of when the flood protection benefit will be realized by the community. I = Immediate (0-3 years); S = Short-term (3-5 years); L - Long-term (more than 5 years)	0	
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT (10%)	Positive Interaction (E 4) - Check all that apply With the Community Environmental Justice Good Neighbor (E 4) - Check all that apply Graffiti removal or Prevention Features Trash removal features (vortex weirs) With other agencies With other agencies Improves aesthetics of project location	0	
ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABLITY (15%)	Ecological Function (E 3.1, 4.1) Project incorporates at least one of the following: removal of fish barrier; structural improvements to fish habitat; inclusion of riparian habitat (planting, setback or protect in place); inclusion of SRA plantings and/or features designed to improve water temperature; improvements to facilitate habitat connectivity, upland habitat and/or wetland habitat protection or preservation; or reduction of hardscape elements. Physical Function (E 3.2) Project incorporates at least one of the following: a holistic watershed approach; energy efficiency; geomorphic design elements; erosion control (sediment source reduction); floodplain connectivity; or protection from sea level rise. Water Quality and Supply (E 3.2) Project incorporates TMDL improvements or provides opportunity for recharge Trails & Open Space (E4.2, E4.3) - Check all that apply Project incorporates trail friendly features, provides protection or preservation of open space, or provides/improves Bicycle Commute Route		
COST RECOVERY (15%)	Funding Available from Other Agencies - Put an "X" in the % column based on the percenatage eligible for cost sharing; Put an "H", "M", or "L" in the C column based on the level of confidence C 50% or more of project costs available from other agencies % = Percentage of cost provided; C = Confidence Level (H, M, L) 26% to 49% of project costs available from other agencies % = Percentage of cost provided; C = Confidence Level (H, M, L) Up to 25% of project costs available from other agencies % = Percentage of cost provided; C = Confidence Level (H, M, L)	0	

WATER RESOURCES STEWARDSHIP PROJECTS Stewardship Priority Ranking Criteria

NORMALIZED PRIORITY SCORE = 0

Project N	Name	RAW SCORE =	0		
× VE	Stewardship Projects		0		
SIMAR JECTIV (55%)	A Project creates Stewardship features to achieve stewardship com	ommitments. (H, M, L)			
PRIMARY OBJECTIVE (55%)	B Stewardship activities beyond the current commitment. (H, M, L)	_)			
	Positive Interaction (E 4) - Check all that apply		0		
	With the Community	With other agencies			
≻₽	Environmental Justice				
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT (15%)	Good Neighbor (E 4) - Check all that apply	Education Element			
	Graffiti removal or Prevention Features	Promotes stream stewardship			
NG()	Trash removal features (vortex weirs)	Promotes flood protection			
	Improves aesthetics of project location	Promotes Bay protection			
	Promotes water conservation	_			
	Ecological Function (E 3.2) - Check all that apply		0		
≥	Fish Barrier Removal / Structural or nonstructural improvement to fish habitat	Upland Habitat Protection/Preservation			
BLI	Riparian Habitat (planting, setback or protect in place)	Wetland Habitat Protection/Preservation			
Ν	SRA Plantings or Improved water temperature	Hardscape Reduction			
ΤΑ	Physical Stream Function (E 3.2) - Check all that apply				
ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABLITY (15%)	Holistic Watershed Approach	Erosion Control or Sediment Source Reduct	tion		
r AL SI (15%)	Geomorphologic Design Elements				
Z	Water Quality (E 3.2) - Check all that apply				
Z	Storm Water Treatment (pervious pavement, green roofs, etc.)	Hazardous Material Removal (Asbestos, Le	ad,		
ROI	TMDL Improvements	Hydrocarbons, etc.)			
Ž	Trails & Open Space (E3.3) - Check all that apply				
Ш	Trail friendly features	Open Space Protection / Preservation			
	Provides/Improves Bicycle Commute Route	Climate change elements			
	Funding Available from Other Agencies - Check One		0		
COST RECOVERY (15%)	% C Over 50% of project costs available from other agencies * = Percentage of cost provided; C = Confidence Level (H, N)	M, L)			
	26% to 50% of project costs available from other agencies				
	% = Percentage of cost provided; C = Confidence Level (H, N	M, L)			
	Up to 25% of project costs available from other agencies	M IS			
	% = Percentage of cost provided; C = Confidence Level (H, N	IVI, L)			

BUILDINGS & GROUNDS PROJECTS Priority Ranking Criteria

NORMALIZED PRIORITY SCORE =

Project N	me	RAW SCORE = 0
	Buildings and Grounds (EL 3.4)	
PRIMARY OBJECTIVE (60%)	Project maintains or replaces existing building infrastructure to comply with employer safety standards. I = Impact (H, M, L); P = Probability (H, M, L) Project enhances building infrastructure to address treatment of the project positions the District to meet projected future space ne	t of staff issues.
	Positive Interaction (E 4) - Check all that apply	
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT (10%)	With the Community	With other agencies
	Good Neighbor (E 4) - Check all that apply	
AGEM (10%)	Graffiti removal or Prevention Features	
S S	Trash removal features (vortex weirs)	
Ш	Improves esthetics of project location	
	Natural Resources Sustainability (E 3.2) - Check all that apply	
	Air Quality & Visibility Improvement	Recycled Water, rain water or gray water utilized
Ĭ₹Ė	Energy Efficient Features (Lighting, HVAC, maximize	Construction Site Waste Management
	daylight use, etc.)	Recycle/Re-use Solid Waste
ONME AINAE (15%)	Renewable Energy Use	Reduce Solid Waste Production
ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY (15%)	Water Efficient Features: Plumbing fixtures, Landscaping, etc.	c. Use of Recycled or Alternative Building Materials
N Sus	Trails & Open Space (E3.3) - Check all that apply	
ш о	Trail friendly features	Open Space Protection / Preservation
	Provides/Improves Bicycle Commute Route	
RY	Funding Available from Other Agencies (Grants & Cost-share) - Check	k One
COST RECOVERY (15%)	Over 50% of project costs available from other agencies	
	26% to 50% of project costs available from other agencies	
R E	Up to 25% of project costs available from other agencies	

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS Priority Ranking Criteria NORMALIZED PRIORITY SCORE =

0

Project N	SCORE =	0
PRIMARY OBJECTIVE (75%)	Information Technology (EL 7.5) A Project maintains existing mission critical software systems and/or Information Technology infrastructure to improve user for business continuity; protection of intellectual property information and files from loss or damage. I = Impact (H, M, L); P = Probability (H, M, L) Project enhances mission critical software systems and/or IT infrastructure to improve user functionality. (H, M, L) Project enhances mission critical software systems and/or IT infrastructure to meet projected future needs. (H, M, L) Ties into IT Master Plan finding and/or recommendations (10 pts.)	orove reliability
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT (15%)	Good Neighbor - Check all that apply Program promotes the distribution of information to the community (public transparency) Program provides an opportunity for community interaction with the District.	0
COST RECOVERY (10%)	Funding Available from Other Agencies - Check One Over 50% of project costs available from other agencies 26% to 50% of project costs available from other agencies Up to 25% of project costs available from other agencies	0

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK