


# Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

## Project Delivery Process\*

### Board Engagement/Actions




\* For discussion purposes only. This is an example of the Project Delivery Process that may be followed and may not apply to all capital projects.

# Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Project Delivery Process\*

Board Engagement/Actions

**Additional Recommended Points for Board Engagement**



For capital projects with unusually complex fiscal, jurisdictional, environmental, or community considerations:

- During the Planning/Feasibility Phase, after identification of the Feasible Alternatives, but before selection of the Recommended Alternative, bring forward a presentation to the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Committee regarding the Feasible Alternatives and staff's initially proposed Recommended Alternative and, if recommended by the CIP Committee, present to the Board for feedback in order to inform the selection of the Recommended Alternative; and
- For the projects for which the Board provided feedback regarding the Recommended Alternative, should changes to the project occur during the Planning and initial Design Phases that result in a significant deviation from the Recommended Alternative, staff will return to both the CIP Committee and the Board to provide information and receive feedback, as necessary, prior to the public review of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) document.



\* For discussion purposes only. This is an example of the Project Delivery Process that may be followed and may not apply to all capital projects.